

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,291	08/20/2001	David L. Kinard	D-43502-01	5703
7590 03/18/2009 Rupert B. Hurley Jr. Sealed Air Corporation			EXAMINER	
			PATTERSON, MARC A	
P.O. Box 464 Duncan, SC 29334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/933,291	KINARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARC A. PATTERSON	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  Failure to reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No	ovember 2008.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
A)    Claim(s) 1.5-10 and 12-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	ate				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Art Unit: 1794

## DETAILED ACTION

## REPEATED REJECTIONS

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1, 6 10, 14 18, 21 22 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,221,411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 5 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6,221,411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102) and further in view of Wiles (GB 2296905 A), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 13 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6,221,411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102) and further in view of Miller et al. (US 4,321,997), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.
- 4. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 19 20 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6,221,411 B 1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102) and further in view of Bair (US 5,135,787) and LeKhac (US 4,743,244), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.

Art Unit: 1794

5. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 23 – 24 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6221411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102), of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is repeated.

## ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1, 6 - 10, 14 - 18, 21 - 22 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,221,411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 5 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6,221,411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102) and further in view of Wiles (GB 2296905 A), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 13 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6,221,411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102) and further in view of Miller et al. (US 4,321,997), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 19 - 20 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6,221,411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102) and further in view of Bair (US 5,135,787) and LeKhac (US 4,743,244), and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 23 - 24 as being unpatentable over Sanfilippo et al. (US 6221411 B1) in view of Fontenot et al (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0182102), of record in the previous Action, have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Art Unit: 1794

Applicant argues, on page 10 of the remarks dated November 20, 2008, that Figure 2 shows that the impervious backing layer of Fontenot et al is the lower layer of the liner, rather than the upper layer as claimed.

However, Fontenot does not limit the use of the liner to one in which the impervious layer is spatially lower than the other layers, although in the schematic figure the impervious layer is closer to the bottom of the page; furthermore, whether the liner is placed in a container so that the impervious layer faces 'up' or 'down' absorption of meat juices will occur, either from the meat directly or from the bottom of the container.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1794

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Marc A Patterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794